

# Superintendent's Final Evaluation

Milton School Committee November 9, 2016

#### **End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent**

Evaluator:							
	Name		Signature		Da	ate	
Assess Progress Toward Goals (	Complete page 3 first;	check one for each	set of goal[s].)				
Professional Practice Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	ed
Student Learning Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	ec
District Improvement Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	ec
Assess Performance on Standar	ds ( <i>Complete pages 4</i> – Indicators	7 first; then check o	one box for each standal	rd.)	nt		
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or ove below the requirements of a standard or overall and in Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance or Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that profice is the profice of the Indicates of Exemplary indicates that profice is the Indicates of Exemplary indicates that profice is understood to be Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that profice is the Indicates of Exemplary indicates the Indicates of Exemplary indicates the Indicates of Exemplary indicates of Exemplary indicates the Indicates of Exemplary indicates the Indicates of Exemplary indicates	Indicators  all has not significantly improved follo considered inadequate, or both.  a standard or overall is below the req and expected. For new superintende e fully satisfactory. This is the rigor	wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or over nts, performance is on track to a rous expected level of perform	ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be chieve proficiency within three years.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or ove below the requirements of a standard or overall and i Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance or Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be	Indicators  all has not significantly improved follo considered inadequate, or both. a standard or overall is below the req and expected. For new superintende e fully satisfactory. This is the rigor ctice significantly exceeds Proficient a	wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or over nts, performance is on track to a rous expected level of perform	ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be chieve proficiency within three years.		□ Needs Improvement	<ul><li>Proficient</li></ul>	
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or ove below the requirements of a standard or overall and i Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance or Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that pro-	Indicators  all has not significantly improved follo considered inadequate, or both.  a standard or overall is below the required expected. For new superintender e fully satisfactory. This is the rigorotice significantly exceeds Proficient and	wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or over nts, performance is on track to a rous expected level of perform	ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be chieve proficiency within three years.	Unsatisfactory			
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or over below the requirements of a standard or overall and i Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance or Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that profice the profice of the Instructional Leaders Standard I: Instructional Leaders	Indicators  all has not significantly improved follos considered inadequate, or both. a standard or overall is below the req and expected. For new superintende e fully satisfactory. This is the rigor ctice significantly exceeds Proficient and property of the results of the re	wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or over nts, performance is on track to a rous expected level of perform	ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be chieve proficiency within three years.	□ Unsatisfactory		_	



#### Superintendent's End of Cycle Evaluation

#### **End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent** Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.) ☐ Proficient Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement **Exemplary** Low Moderate High Step 4: Rate Impact on Student Learning (Check only one.) **Step 5: Add Evaluator Comments** Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory or Impact on Student Learning rating of high or low. Comments:



#### Superintendent's Performance Goals

#### Superintendent's Performance Goals



Goals should be SMART and include at least one goal for each category: professional practice, student learning, and district improvement. Check one box for each goal.

Goal(s)	Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded
Professional Practice						
1	By January of 2016, one hundred percent of administrators will be able to describe and rate teaching practices they observe consistently. I will improve my skills at debriefing classroom observations and model for principals effective strategies for describing and rating teaching practices by engaging in joint observations with principals and by including a district administrator in English, Mathematics, Special Education, and/or Science in at least one quarter of my classroom observations and follow-up debriefs with principals.					
Student Learning						
2	See attached chart					
District Improvement						
3	During the 2014-2016 school years, we will work to improve parent outreach and communication by communicating regularly with families using at least two 2-way communication tools per month (e.g. face-to-face forums and feedback sessions, the use of 2-way interactive web sites and/or survey tools, etc.) as well as ensuring careful and prompt responses to communication from families, as measured by a pre- and post-parent survey, a completed administrative reflection, and artifacts of practice demonstrating a twenty-five percent increase in parent/administrator communication from the prior year.					
4	By September of 2015 and September of 2016, twenty percent of all new teachers hired for each of those school years will be teachers of color.					
5	By September of 2015, the evaluation of the Special Education Department will be completed and reported out and a 2015-2016 timeline of implementation of recommendations and personnel responsible will be presented to the Milton School Committee, Community, and District for discussion, feedback and consensus on next steps.					
Other Goals (if any)				_		
6						



# **Student Leaning Goals**

White A.A./Black White A.A./Black White A.A./Black	Math Math ELA ELA Science	<b>CPI Actual</b> 94 76.7 96.1 83.7	Gap Actual	Gap Goal	Gap Actual	Gap Goal	Gap Actual	Gap Goal	Gap Actual	Gap	Gap Actual
A.A./Black White A.A./Black White	Math ELA ELA	94 76.7 96.1						Joan	(PARCC)	Goal	(PARCC)
White A.A./Black White	ELA ELA	96.1	17.3	15.6							
A.A./Black White	ELA			13.0	17.7	13.8	19.5	12.1	n/a	10.4	n/a
White		83.7									
	Science	05.7	12.4	11.2	9.8	9.9	9.6	8.7	n/a	7.5	n/a
A.A./Black		89.4									
	Science	70.1	19.3	17.4	18.8	15.4	15.7	13.5	19	11.6	18
		-									
Non-Special Ed.	Math	94.3									
Special Ed.	Math	71.7	22.6	20.3	27.3	18.1	23.3	15.8	n/a	13.5	n/a
Non-Special Ed.	ELA	96.3									
Special Ed.	ELA	78	18.3	16.5	20.8	14.6	22.3	12.8	n/a	11	n/a
Non-Special Ed.	Science	88.4									
Special Ed.	Science	68.3	20.1	18.2	26.9	16.2	17.4	14.2	26.8	12.2	23
		-									
Non-Low Income	Math	93.2									
Low Income	Math	76	17.2	15.5	17.3	13.8	18.4	12	n/a	10.3	n/a
Non-Low Income	ELA	95.3									
Low Income	ELA	82.6	12.7	11.4	10.1	10.2	9.8	8.9	n/a	7.6	n/a
Non-Low Income	Science	88.2									
Low Income	Science	69.4	18.8	16.9	16.7	15	15.7	13.2	n/a	11.3	n/a
Non-High Needs	Math	96.2									
High Needs	Math	76.8	19.4	17.5	21.2	15.5	19.3	13.6	n/a	11.7	n/a
Non-High Needs	ELA	97.7									
High Needs	ELA	82.6	15.1	13.6	15	12.1	15.4	10.6	n/a	9.1	n/a
Non-High Needs	Science	90.2									
High Needs	Science	72.2	18	16.2	22.3	14.4	16.6	12.6	n/a	10.8	n/a



# Class of 2023 (current G6) – Elementary Cohort Achievement Gap

	G3		G4		G5		
	2013-14	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	Direction of
ELA	(MCAS)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	gap
SWD (counterpart)	63.3 (93.4)	30.1	60 (94)	34	73.1 (96.7)	23.6	closing
AfAm/Bl	70.2 (91.2)	21	67 (92)	25	77 (95.4)	18.4	closing
EconDis	X	X	66 (91)	25	79.2 (93.5)	14.3	closing

Based on the three years of Advancement Initiatives, all the achievement gaps in ELA are closing for this particular cohort, which benefited from all three years of Advancement funding. Results in Math are more mixed. Note that the data here is combined district-wide, as most of our elementary schools individually do not have enough students in all subgroups to report out data.

	G3 2013-14	2013-14	G4 2014-15	2014-15	G5 2015-16	2015-16	Direction of
Math	(MCAS)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	gap
SWD (counterpart)	75.8 (96.2)	20.4	71 (95)	24	75.4 (97.1)	21	no change
AfAm/BI	75 (96.8)	21.8	76 (93)	17	81 (95.7)	14.7	closing
EconDis	X	X	77 (92)	15	77 (94.8)	17.8	increasing



# Class of 2020 (current G9) – Middle School Cohort Achievement Gap

	G6		G7		G8		
	2013-14	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	Direction of
ELA	(MCAS)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	gap
SWD (counterpart)	75.8 (97.2)	21.4	71.0 (94.0)	23	85.2 (97.8)	12.6	closing
AfAm/Bl	87.8 (96.3)	8.5	79.0 (94.0)	15	92.6 (98.1)	5.5	closing
EconDis	Х	x	78.0 (93.0)	15	89.4 (97.5)	8.1	closing

Following this middle school cohort, we see all the achievement gaps in ELA are also closing. Results in Math are more mixed.

	G6		G7		G8		
	2013-14	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	Direction of
Math	(MCAS)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	(PARCC)	CPI gap	gap
SWD (counterpart)	69.5 (94.5)	25	56.0 (92.0)	36	63.9 (94.1)	30.2	increasing
AfAm/Bl	78.3 (94.7)	16.4	71.0 (92.0)	21	77.7 (94.3)	16.6	no change
EconDis	X	X	69.0 (90.0)	21	75.8 (93.2)	17.4	closing



# Superintendent's Rubric At-A-Glance

Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Standard II: Management & Operations	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard IV: Professional Culture
A. Curriculum Indicator     1. Standards-Based Unit Design     2. Lesson Development Support	A. Environment Indicator     1. Plans, Procedures, and Routines     2. Operational Systems     3. Student Safety, Health, and Social and Emotional Needs	A. Engagement Indicator  1. Family Engagement  2. Community and Business Engagement	A. Commitment to High Standards Indicator  1. Commitment to High Standards 2. Mission and Core Values 3. Meetings
B. Instruction Indicator  1. Instructional Practices 2. Quality of Effort & Work 3. Diverse Learners' Needs	B. Human Resources Management & Development Indicator  1. Recruitment & Hiring Strategies  2. Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies	B. Sharing Responsibility Indicator  1. Student Support  2. Family Collaboration	B. Cultural Proficiency Indicator 1. Policies and Practices
C. Assessment Indicator 1. Variety of Assessments 2. Adjustment to Practice	C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems Indicator 1. Time for Teaching and Learning 2. Time for Collaboration	C. Communication Indicator  1. Two-Way Communication  2. Culturally Proficient Communication	C.Communications Indicator  1. Communication Skills
D. Evaluation Indicator  1. Educator Goals 2. Observation s & Feedback 3. Ratings 4. Alignment Review	D. Law, Ethics & Policies Indicator  1. Laws and Policies  2. Ethical Behavior	D. Family Concerns Indicator 1. Family Concerns	D. Continuous Learning Indicator  1. Continuous Learning of Staff  2. Continuous Learning of Administrator
E. Data-Informed Decision Making Indicator  1. Knowledge & Use of Data  2. School and District Goals  3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning	E. Fiscal Systems Indicator 1. Fiscal Systems		E. Shared Vision Indicator  1. Shared Vision Development
			F. Managing Conflict Indicator  1. Response to Disagreement 2. Conflict Resolution 3. Consensus Building



#### Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



Chec	ck one box for each indicator and i	ndicate the overall standard rating below.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructived lessons with measure					
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in engage all students, and are personal	n all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, alized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.				
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all princi informal methods and assessments their practice when students are not					
I-D.	<b>Evaluation:</b> Ensures effective and ti provisions.					
I-E.		ises multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and th data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, learning.				
	rall Rating for Standard I eck one.)	The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the succession that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.	ss of all s	taff by cul	ltivating a	shared
	Unsatisfactory	■ Needs Improvement ■ Proficient	[	Exer	nplary	
Con	nments and analysis (recommer	ded for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Impro	ovement	or <i>Unsat</i>	isfactory	:
amples of evi	ridence superintendent might provide:					
Analysis of o	classroom walk-through data district assessment data district and school improvement plans		leadership r school vis	nittee meetir team(s) age its		





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

**Standard I: Instructional Leadership.** The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.

I-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A-1. Standards- Based Unit Design	Does not set the expectation that administrators use effective strategies for ensuring development of well-designed standards-based units, provide adequate resources or support for this activity, and/or monitor or assess progress.	Provides limited training and/or support to administrators to employ effective strategies for ensuring well-designed standards-based units. May sometimes monitor and assess progress and provide feedback.	Provides support and assistance for administrators to learn and employ effective strategies for ensuring that educators and educator teams design standards-based units with measurable outcomes and challenging tasks requiring higher-order thinking. Frequently monitors and assesses progress, providing feedback as necessary.	Empowers administrators to employ strategies that empower staff to create rigorous standards-based units of instruction that are aligned across grade levels and content areas. Continually monitors and assesses progress, provides feedback, and connects administrators to additional supports as needed. Is able to model this element.
I-A-2. Lesson Development Support	Does not state expectations for administrators that they establish effective strategies to ensure development of well-structured lessons, does not provide training or support, and/or does not discriminate between strong and weak strategies for ensuring effective lesson-planning practices.	Provides limited training to administrators on how to establish effective strategies for ensuring that educators develop well-structured lessons and/or does not consistently identify and/or address patterns when there is evidence of a weak strategy being employed.	Supports administrators to learn and establish effective strategies for ensuring that educators develop well-structured lessons with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, technologies, and grouping.	Supports administrators to collaborate on developing strategies that enable educators to consistently develop series of interconnected, well-structured lessons with challenging objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, materials, and grouping and identifies specific exemplars and resources in each area. Is able to model this element.





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

# Indicator I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.

I-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-B-1. Instructional Practices	Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify ways that principals identify effective teaching strategies when the principals observe practice and review unit plans	While the superintendent may observe principal practice and artifacts, s/he only occasionally looks for evidence that principals are identifying effective teaching strategies and practices when they observe practice and review unit plans.	While observing principal practice and artifacts, ensures that principals identify a variety of effective teaching strategies and practices when they observe practice and review unit plans.	While observing principal practice and artifacts, ensures that principals know and employ effective strategies and practices for helping educators improve instructional practice. Is able to model this element.
I-B-2. Quality of Effort and Work	Does not set high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and/or student work district-wide, or expectations are inappropriate.	May set high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide, but allows expectations to be inconsistently applied across the district.	Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide and supports administrators to uphold these expectations consistently.	Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide and empowers administrators, educators and students to uphold these expectations consistently. Is able to model this element.
I-B-3. Diverse Learners' Needs	Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify ways that principals identify effective teaching strategies and practices that are appropriate for diverse learners.	While the superintendent may observe principal practice, s/he only occasionally looks for evidence that principals are identifying effective teaching strategies and practices that are appropriate for diverse learners when they observe practices and review unit plans.	While observing principal practice, ensures that principals look for and identify a variety of teaching strategies and practices that are effective with diverse learners when they observe practices and review unit plans.	Employs strategies that ensure that principals know and consistently identify teaching strategies and practices that are meeting the needs of diverse learners while teaching their content. Is able to model this element.





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Indicator I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.

I-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary	
I-C-1. Variety of Assessments	Does not communicate or monitor a strategy for assessments, leaving it up to administrators to design and implement their own strategies.	Provides administrators with some formal assessment options and suggests that they coordinate their assessment practices within their teams and include a variety of assessments but does not monitor this practice.	Supports administrator teams to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments, including common interim assessments that are aligned across grade levels and subject areas.	Leads administrator teams to develo and implement a comprehensive assessment strategy that includes ongoing informal assessment and common interim assessments that ar aligned across grade levels and subject areas. Is able to model this element.	
I-C-2. Adjustment to Practice	Does not encourage or facilitate administrator teams to review assessment data.	Suggests that administrator teams meet to review data and plan for adjustments and interventions but inconsistently monitors this practice.	Provides the resources for planning time and effective support for administrator teams to review assessment data and identify appropriate interventions and adjustments to practice. Monitors administrators' efforts and successes in this area.	Leads, plans, facilitates, and supports administrator team review meetings after each round of assessments.  Monitors teams' plans, adjustments to instruction, and outcomes and shares lessons learned with others. Is able to model this element.	





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

#### Indicator I-D. Evaluation: Provides effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions.

I-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-D-1. Educator Goals	Does not support administrators to develop professional practice, student learning and/or district/school improvement goals, review the goals for quality, and/or support administrators in attaining goals.	Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop professional practice, student learning and, where appropriate, district/school improvement goals but does not consistently review them for quality and/or monitor progress.	Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice, student learning, and where appropriate, district/school improvement goals.	Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice, student learning and district/school improvement goals and models this process through the superintendent's own evaluation process and goals. Is able to model this element.
I-D-2. Observations and Feedback	Rarely conducts visits to observe principal practice and/or does not provide honest feedback to administrators who are not performing proficiently.	Makes infrequent unannounced visits to schools to observe principal practice, rarely provides feedback that is specific and constructive for administrators, and/or critiques struggling administrators without providing support to improve their performance.	Typically makes at least three unannounced visits to each school to observe principal practice every year and provides targeted constructive feedback to all administrators. Acknowledges effective practice and provides redirection and support for those whose practice is less than <i>Proficient</i> .	Makes unannounced visits to schools throughout the year to observe administrator practice and provides targeted constructive feedback to all administrators. Engages with all in conversations with all administrators about improvement, celebrates effective practice, and provides targeted support to administrators whose practice is less than <i>Proficient</i> . Is able to model this element.
I-D-3. Ratings	Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning without collecting and analyzing sufficient and/or appropriate data or does not assign ratings for some administrators.	Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning in a way that is not consistently transparent to administrators.	Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning and ensures that administrators understand why they received their ratings.	Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning. Ensures that administrators understand in detail why they received their ratings and provides effective support around this practice is able to model this element.





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

I-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-D-4. Alignment Review	Does not review alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating administrators.	Occasionally reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data.	Consistently reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data and provides guidance to administrators to make informed decisions about educator support and evaluation based upon this review.	Studies alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating administrators and provides effective support around this practice. Is able to model this element.



Unsatisfactory

#### Standard I: Instructional Leadership



I-E. Elements

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Indicator I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning, including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.

Proficient

**Needs Improvement** 

C.	., 50	5900		WE 20
I-E-1. Knowledge and Use of Data	Relies on too few data sources to represent the full picture of school or district performance, and/or does not analyze the data accurately.	May work with administrators to identify multiple sources of student learning data, but these data do not provide multiple perspectives on performance, and/or analysis of the data is sometimes inaccurate.	Guides administrators and supports them in identifying a range of appropriate data sources and effectively analyze the data for decision-making purposes.	Leads administrator teams to identify a range of appropriate data sources, including non-traditional information that offers a unique perspective on school and district performance, and models effective data analysis for staff. Is able to model this element.
I-E-2. School and District Goals	Gathers limited information on school and district strengths and weaknesses and/or does not use these data to inform district plans or actions.	Assesses school and district strengths and weaknesses using data that are not carefully analyzed and/or writes an unfocused strategic plan.	Uses data to accurately assess school and district strengths and areas for improvement to inform the creation of focused, measurable district goals. Provides support to principals in their efforts to create focused, measurable school goals.	Involves stakeholders in a comprehensive diagnosis of school and district strengths and weaknesses using appropriate data, and leads a collaborative process to develop a focused, results-oriented strategic plan with annual goals. Is able to model this element.
I-E-3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning	Does not share assessment data with administrators or provide them with resources and support to use data to make adjustments to school or district plans, and/or model appropriate data analysis strategies.	Shares limited data with administrators to identify student and/or educator subgroups that need support; provides limited assistance to administrator teams in using data to improve performance.	Uses multiple data sources to evaluate administrator and district performance. Provides administrators and administrator teams with the resources and support to disaggregate assessment data and assists them in identifying students who need additional support.	Uses multiple data sources to evaluate administrator and district performance. Provides administrators and administrator teams with the resources and support to disaggregate assessment data and assists them in identifying students who need additional support. Empowers educators to use a range of data sources to pinpoint areas for their own and schoolwide improvement. Is able to model this element.

Exemplary



#### Standard II: Management and Operations

#### Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management and Operations



Check one box for each indicator a	and circle the overall standard rating.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-A. Environment: Develops and exafety, health, emotional, and s	xecutes effective plans, procedures, routines, and oper ocial needs.	rational systems to address a full range of				
	I-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.					
II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.						
II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.						
II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.						
Overall Rating for Standard II (Circle one.)	The education leader promotes the learning a efficient, and effective learning environment, u			7500	2000	27
Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Proficient				Exemp	lary	
Comments and analysis (recom	nmended for any overall rating; required for ov	verall rating of Exemplary, Needs Impr	ovement	or Unsati	sfactory	)c



#### Standard II: Management and Operations



#### Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Standard II: Management and Operations. Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling

Indicator II-A	ndicator II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs.					
II-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary		
II-A-1. Plans, Procedures, and Routines	Does not organize the district effectively for orderly and efficient movement of students.	May establish plans, procedures, and routines to guide administrators, but student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess are not consistently orderly and/or efficient.	Develops systems, plans, procedures, and routines for administrators to implement that generally ensure orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess.	Establishes systems, plans, procedures, and routines that empower administrators, students and staff to implement orderly and efficier student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess. Is able to model this element.		
II-A-2. Operational Systems	Fails to establish systems and procedures to support custodial and/or other staff, so that the campus is not generally clean, attractive, welcoming, and/or safe.	Develops systems and procedures that result in inconsistent supervision and/or support of custodial and other staff, resulting in a campus that is not consistently clean, attractive, welcoming, or safe.	Develops systems and procedures for the effective supervision and support of custodial, clerical, food services, and other staff effectively so that the campus is clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe.	Creates and maintains a district environment in which custodial and other staff take personal responsibility for keeping the campus clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe. Is able to model this element.		
II-A-3. Student Safety, Health, and Social and Emotional Needs	Does not develop consistent procedures for student discipline; district disciplinary practice varies from school to school; often tolerates discipline violations and/or enforces district policies or procedures inconsistently.	May urge administrators to demand good student behavior but allows varying standards to exist in different schools. Supervises and supports administrators in addressing student discipline and bullying matters on a case-by-case basis in the absence of a system of procedures and consequences.	Supports administrator teams in developing systems and procedures for positive student behavior; models high expectations for student behavior and provides appropriate training for administrators to uphold these expectations. Establishes district-wide routines and consequences, including policies and systems to prevent and address bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional well-being.	Guides administrators and teams to develop practices that consistently showcase high expectations for student behavior and invest staff and students in upholding these expectations. Successfully implements district-wide routines and consequences such that students take ownership over addressing bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional wellbeing. Is able to model this element.		



#### Standard II: Management and Operations



#### Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruitment, hiring, induction, Indicator II-B. development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.

II-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-B-1. Recruitment and Hiring Strategies	Does not successfully lead the recruitment and hiring process.	Leads the recruitment and hiring process but does not consistently identify effective administrators and educators.	Leads the district's recruitment and hiring process and, through it, consistently identifies effective administrators and educators who share the district's mission.	Consistently identifies effective administrators and educators who share the district's mission. Empowers administrators and faculty members to share in a structured, consistent interview process. Is able to model this element.
II-B-2. Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies	Does not support new administrators, provide guidance to them to support educators, organize high-quality jobembedded professional development, and/or support the career growth of effective educators.	Develops only a limited district-wide induction program for new administrators and teachers and/or inconsistently implements the district's induction strategy; organizes jobembedded professional development that is not consistently high quality or aligned with goals; and/or does not consistently support effective administrators' and educators' career growth. Does not establish criteria for the awarding of professional status.	Develops district-wide induction support for new administrators and teachers and/or faithfully implements the district's induction strategy; organizes high-quality job-embedded professional development aligned with district goals; and supports the career growth of effective professional personnel by distributing leadership tasks, developing criteria for the awarding of professional status, and monitoring progress and development.	Facilitates the administrator-led design and implementation of induction support, job-embedded professional development, and career growth support all of which are aligned with district goals; are consistently viewed by professional personnel as effective and helpful, and provide multiple opportunities for administrator and educator growth and learning. Leads the administrator team in developing district criteria for the awarding of professional status. Is able to model this element.



#### Standard II: Management and Operations



# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

## Indicator II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.

	learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.					
II-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary		
II-C-1. Time for Teaching and Learning	Does little to minimize disruptions to instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals.	Generally acts to minimize disruptions to instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals.	Creates schedules, procedures and related systems that maximize instructional time and minimize school day disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals; and consistently monitors the extent to which these systems are effective	Empowers administrators and teams to contribute to the design and monitoring of district systems that maximize instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for all school-level staff. Is able to model this element.		
II-C-2. Time for Collaboration	Sets unrealistic expectations for administrator team meetings if at all and/or does not create a schedule that provides adequate meeting time for teams. Does not work to prevent or deflect time-wasting activities. Does not establish norms for the administrator team meetings.	Sets inconsistent expectations for administrator team meetings and/or creates a schedule that only provides adequate meeting time for some team meetings. Works to prevent or deflect activities with limited success. Norms for team behavior are unclear and/or not consistently practiced.	Sets expectations for administrator team meetings and creates a schedule that provides sufficient meeting time for all team meetings. Prevents or deflects activities that interfere with administrators' ability to focus on the agenda during team time. Establishes norms for effective team behavior.	Is transparent and forthcoming about expectations for all administrator team meetings; creates and implements a schedule that maximizes meeting time for all team members. Collaborates with team members to develop team norms. Is able to model this element.		



#### Standard II: Management and Operations

# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Indicator II-I	Indicator II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.						
II-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary			
II-D-1. Laws and Policies	Demonstrates lack of awareness or consistent non-compliance with some or all state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, or collective bargaining agreements.	May know state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements, but inconsistently complies with some laws or policies.	Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Provides the resources and support to ensure district-wide compliance.	Provides the resources and support for all school personnel to understand and comply with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Is able to model this element.			
II-D-2. Ethical Behavior	Demonstrates lack of sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness and/or does not adequately protect administrator, student, family, and/or staff confidentiality.	Generally demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness with occasional lapses in judgment and/or does not always protect administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately.	Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately; and expects all district personnel to reflect this practice.	Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately. Effectively supports all staff to do both as well. Is able to model this element.			

Indicator II-E	Indicator II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.						
II-E. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary			
II-E-1. Fiscal Systems	Builds a budget that does not align with the district's goals or mismanages available resources.	Develops a budget that loosely aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals or inconsistently manages expenditures and available resources.	Develops a budget that aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals. Allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals and available resources.	Leads the administrator team to develop a district budget that aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals with supporting rationale; uses budget limitations to create new opportunities for improvement, when possible; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals; and seeks alternate funding sources as needed. Is able to model this element.			





#### Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement

Unsatisfactory	indicator and indicate the overall standard rating below.	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary	
ribute	III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community.				
nd 🗆	III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community.				
s 🗆	III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance.				
	III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.				
s Improveme	actory Needs Improvement Proficient sis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Imp		mplary isfactory)	):	





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

**Standard III: Family and Community Engagement.** Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the school and district.

Indicator III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district and community.

III-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-A-1. Family Engagement	Does little to welcome families as members of the district, classroom or school community or tolerates an environment that is unwelcoming to some families.	May provide some resources and support and make some attempts to welcome families as members of the district, classroom and school community but does not consistently use culturally sensitive practices and/or work to identify and remove barriers to family involvement.	Provides resources and support for all personnel to use culturally sensitive practices to ensure that all families are welcome and can contribute to the district, classroom, school and community's effectiveness. Works with administrators to identify and remove barriers to families' involvement, including families whose home language is not English.	Provides resources and support for all personnel to use culturally sensitive practices and successfully engages most families, ensuring that all families are welcome and can contribute to district, classroom, school, and community effectiveness. Works with administrators, families, and organizations to identify and remove barriers to family involvement, including families whose home language is not English. Is able to model this element.
III-A-2. Community and Business Engagement	Limits work to the immediate context of the schools. Does not make efforts to reach out to community organizations, community members, or businesses that could otherwise contribute to district effectiveness.	Engages some community organizations, community members, and/or businesses in annual district events but does not make efforts to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for district effectiveness.	Establishes ongoing relationships with community organizations, community members, and businesses. Engages them to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for district effectiveness.	Establishes strategic partnerships with community organizations, community members, and businesses that improve district effectiveness. Works to increase the types and number of organizations with whom the district partners in order to deepen relationships and increase partner contribution. Is able to model this element.





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Indicator III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community.

	and development at nome, school, and in the community.				
III-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary	
III-B-1. Student Support	Does not work with administrators to support educators to identify student needs, does not work with administrators to support families to address student needs, and/or does not draw upon internal or external resources.	Asks administrators to identify students struggling academically or behaviorally and/or work with a limited number of families to address student needs, utilizing a limited set of resources.	Provides resources and support to enable administrators and educators to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with administrators to support families to address student needs, utilizing resources within and outside of the district.	Provides resources and support to enable administrators and educators to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with administrators to support families to effectively address student needs and prevent further challenges, connecting students with a network of resources within and outside the district. Is able to model this element.	
III-B-2. Family Collaboration	Does not set clear expectations or provide support for administrators to regularly communicate with families on ways to support their children's learning at home and at school.	Sets general expectations and provides occasional support for administrators to engage families in supporting their children's learning at school and at home and/or supporting their children with disabilities or limited English proficiency.	Sets clear expectations for and supports administrators to regularly engage families in supporting learning at school and home, including appropriate adaptation for students with disabilities or limited English proficiency.	Sets clear expectations and provides differentiated resources to support administrators to consistently and regularly engage all families in supporting their children's learning at school and home, including families and children with limited English proficiency and/or children with disabilities. Is able to model this element.	





## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

III-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-C-1. Two-Way Communica- tion	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators to communicate with families. District communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through school report cards.	May set expectations for and provide limited support to administrators to communicate with families but does not stress the importance of two-way communication channels. District communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through school newsletters and other one-way media.	Sets clear expectations for and provides support to administrators to communicate regularly with families using two-way communication channels, including careful and prompt response to communications from families. Supports administrators to maximize the number of face-to-face family/teacher interactions.	Sets clear expectations for and provides differentiated support to ensure that all administrators design and implement frequent personalized communications, respond carefully and promptly to communications fron families, and solicit feedback from families that informs improvement to communication plans. Is able to mode this element.
III-C-2. Culturally Proficient Communica- tion	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication and/or allows inappropriate disrespectful communication with families that ignores different family cultural norms.	May set expectations for administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication but does not provide support to them; and/or occasionally communicates in ways that are culturally insensitive to some families' home language, culture, and values.	Sets clear expectations for and provides support to administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that district-wide communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding of and sensitivity to different families' home language, culture, and values.	Sets clear expectations for, models, and provides differentiated support regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that district-wide communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding and appreciation of different families' home language, culture, and values. Is able to model this element.

III-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-D-1. Family Concerns	Fails to provide systems and support for personnel to consistently reach out to families in response to concerns, and agreed-upon solutions are often not in the best interest of students.	May systems and support to address concerns with families as they arise, but agreed-upon solutions are not always in the best interest of students.	Provides systems, and support for administrators to reach out to families as concerns arise and works to reach equitable solutions in the best interest of students.	Provides system and support for all school personnel to reach out to families proactively, as soon as concerns arise. Effectively reaches equitable solutions that satisfy families, faculty, and staff and are in the best interest of students. Is able 1 model this element.



#### **Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture**



Check one box for each indicator and	indicate the overall standard rating below.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: expectations for achievement for a	Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of I.	service, teaching, and learning with high				
	t policies and practices enable staff members and s ents' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challen					
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates st	rong interpersonal, written, and verbal communicati	on skills.				
	and nurtures a culture in which staff members are re es, and theory to continuously adapt practice and a e.		nt 🗆			_
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.						
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strat consensus throughout a district or	egies for responding to disagreement and dissent, oschool community.	constructively resolving conflict and building				
Overall Rating for Standard IV (Check one.)	The education leader promotes the learning a sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective processions.				uring and	
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	☐ Proficient		Exer	nplary	
Comments and analysis (recomme	ended for any overall rating; required for ov	erall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Im</i>	provement	or Unsati	isfactory	):
□ Goals progress report □ District and school improvement plans and □ Staff attendance and other data □ Memos/newsletters to staff and other stake	<ul> <li>Analysis of staff feedback</li> </ul>	munity/parent meetings	nmittee meetir leadership tea staff feedback	m(s) agenda		rials





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

**Standard IV: Professional Culture.** Promotes success for all students by nurturing and sustaining a school culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.

IV-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-A-1. Commitment to High Standards	Does not encourage high standards of teaching and learning or high expectations for achievement with the administrator team, and/or may demonstrate low expectations for faculty and staff.	May ask administrators for commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all but does not support and/or model it.	Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning, for all administrators, with high expectations for achievement for all.	Leads administrators in developing a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Revisits and renews commitment wit administrator team regularly. Is able t model this element.
IV-A-2. Mission and Core Values	Does not develop core values and mission statements for the school.	May develop core values and mission statements but rarely uses them to guide decision making.	Develops, promotes, and models commitment to core values that guide the development of a succinct, results-oriented mission statement and ongoing decision making.	Leads administrators to develop core values and mission statements, share these statements with families and th school district community, and use them to guide decision making. Is abl to model this element.
IV-A-3. Meetings	Leads administrator meetings that lack clear purpose and/or are primarily used for one-way informational updates.	May lead administrator meetings that include both one-way informational updates and participatory activities focused on matters of consequence, but does not clearly establish norms.	Plans and leads well-run and engaging administrator meetings that have clear purpose, focus on matters of consequence, and engage participants in a thoughtful and productive series of conversations and deliberations. Establishes clear norms for administrator team behavior.	Plans and facilitates engaging administrator team meetings in which small groups of administrators learn together and create solutions to instructional leadership issues. Team has established norms for behavior and consistently adheres to them. Consistently evaluates the effectiveness of the administrator team meetings. Is able to model this element.





# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Indicator IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.

IV-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-B-1. Policies and Practices	Develops and implements culturally insensitive or inappropriate policies, does not support administrators and staff in building cultural proficiency, and/or creates a culture that minimizes the importance of individual differences.	Takes pride in having a diverse administration, faculty and/or student body, but some policies are not culturally sensitive; and/or provides limited resources for administrators to support the development of cultural proficiency.	Develops and implements culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Provides administrators with relevant resources to support them in building cultural proficiency and promotes a culture that affirms individual differences.	Leads stakeholders to develop and implement culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Empowers administrators with time, resources, and support to build cultural proficiency and collaborates with community members to create a culture that affirms individual differences. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-C. Communications: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written and verbal communication skills.

IV-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary	
IV-C-1. Communica- tion Skills	Demonstrates ineffectual interpersonal, written, or verbal communication skills at times.	May demonstrate adequate interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills but sometimes makes grammatical errors or has difficulty expressing ideas to stakeholders.	Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills.	Demonstrates strong context- and audience-specific interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. Is able to model this element.	





### Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Indicator IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results.

Models these behaviors in the administrator's own practice.

IV-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-D-1. Continuous Learning of Staff	Accepts the practice of administrators working largely in isolation, without consideration of data and best practices, and/or discourages reflection among administrators, faculty and staff.	May encourage administrators to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students and to use data and best practices to adapt practice but does not support administrators in these practices.	Leads all administrators and teams to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students. Ensures that administrators use data, research, and best practices to adapt practice to achieve improved results.	Models for administrators how to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students and uses data, research, and best practices to adapt practice to achieve improved results. Supports all educators to work in teams as often as is feasible and appropriate. Is able to model this element.
IV-D-2. Continuous Learning of Administrator	Does not reflect on personal practice or demonstrate new ways of thinking about administration and leadership.	Occasionally reflects on personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and/or researches ways to improve efficiency and practice.	Reflects on and improves personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and develops new approaches in order to improve efficiency and practice.	Demonstrates openness and commitment to learning; reflects on personal practice; and relies on student data, current research, and best practice to improve own leadership. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-E. Shared Vision: Continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.

grand grand and grand and grand gran					
IV-E. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary	
IV-E-1. Shared Vision Development	Does little to engage stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision, or the vision is disconnected from college and career readiness, civic engagement, and/or community contributions.	Engages administrators, staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on some aspects of student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions.	At all grade levels, continuously engages administrators, staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions.	Leads administrators, staff, students of all ages, families, and community members to develop and internalize a shared educational vision around preparation for college and careers and responsible citizenship. Is able to model this element.	





#### Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendent Rubric

Indicator IV-	ndicator IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community.					
IV-F. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary		
IV-F-1. Response to Disagreement	Does not respond to disagreement or dissent and/or does not use appropriate, respectful, non-confrontational approaches.	May respond respectfully to disagreement and dissent, but responds inconsistently and does not always employ a non-confrontational approach	Employs a non-confrontational approach for responding respectfully and appropriately to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning. Models this practice for the administrator team.	Models a variety of strategies for responding respectfully and effectively to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning. Provides professional development for the administrator team to build these conflict resolution strategies. Is able to model this element.		
IV-F-2. Conflict Resolution	Does not address conflicts in a solution-oriented and/or respectful manner.	May attempt to respectfully resolve conflicts as they arise, but employs only a limited range of strategies.	Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner. Models this behavior for the administrator team.	Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner and empowers and supports administrators to use these approaches. Is able to model this element.		
IV-F-3. Consensus Building	Does not attempt to build consensus within the district community, or attempts at consensus-building around critical school decisions are unsuccessful.	Employs a limited number of strategies to build consensus within the school district community, with varying degrees of success.	Builds consensus within the school district community around critical school decisions, employing a variety of strategies.	Employs a variety of strategies to build consensus within the school district community around critical school decisions, while encouraging dialogue and different points of view. Is able to model this element.		