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## Agenda

- Review of district MCAS data
- High school standardized assessments
- 2017-18 Advanced Placement
- Class of 2018 SAT
- Conclusion; Next steps


## Context

- The state recently updated the almost 20-year old MCAS testing and transitioned over to the next-generation MCAS.
- We are cautioned against doing any trend analysis using legacy MCAS data because the next-generation MCAS is a different test with new data points (cut scores, performance levels etc.)
- DESE will continue to transition all remaining grades and subjects over to the next-generation test.
- In this current wave of change, the state has implemented a brand new accountability system to reflect the new testing data. This new system is still under review by the state.
- Why all these changes?
- Because despite being the top public school system in the nation, Massachusetts still sends students to college who need remedial courses to catch up and engage in college-level work.
- Not only Mass, but nationally, the College Board also redesigned and implemented a new suite of SAT assessments in 2015-16 that aligns to research on what students need to be college and career ready.


## Standardized Assessment Data: 2017-18 MCAS

## Next-generation ELA MCAS Results

2018: Percentage of MPS students meeting/exceeding expectations on ELA Next-Generation MCAS G3-8
$\square$ \% Meeting/ Exceeding $\square$ \% State Meeting/ Exceeding


## Promising Early Literacy Results

Milton District: Percent of Students in Meeting/Exceeding on Grade 3 ELA MCAS
(Next-generation MCAS given in 2017 and 2018; PARCC in 2015 and 2016; legacy MCAS before that)


2017-18 was the first year of implementation of the new REACH literacy curriculum in grades K-5.

## Next-generation ELA MCAS Results

Grade 3 ELA \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations



Grade 5 ELA \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations


## Next-generation ELA MCAS Results

Grade 6 ELA \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations
= Grade 6 ELA $=$ - State 6


Grade 7 ELA \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations

```
- Grade7ELA - - State7
```



Grade 8 ELA \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations

- Grade 8 ELA $=$ State 8



## Next-generation ELA MCAS Results by Cohort

Class of 2026 (current G5) ELA


Class of 2025 (current G6) ELA

- District $=-$ State


Class of 2024 (current G7) ELA

- District $=-$ State


Class of 2023 (current G8) ELA

$$
=\text { District }=- \text { State }
$$



## Next-generation Math MCAS Results

2018: Percentage of MPS students meeting/exceeding expectations on Math Next-Generation MCAS G3-8
$\square$ \% Meeting/ Exceeding $\square$ \% State Meeting/ Exceeding


## Next-generation Math MCAS Results

Grade 3 Math \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations


Grade 4 Math \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations


Grade 5 Math \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations


## Next-generation Math MCAS Results

Grade 6 Math \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations

- Grade 6 Math $=$ State 6


Grade 7 Math \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations

$$
=\text { Grade } 7 \text { Math } \quad=- \text { State } 7
$$



Grade 8 Math \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations

$$
=\text { Grade } 8 \text { Math } \quad=\text { State } 8
$$



## Next-generation Math MCAS Results by Cohort

Class of 2026 (current G5) Math


Class of 2025 (current G6) Math

$$
=\text { District }=- \text { State }
$$



Class of 2024 (current G7) Math

$$
=\text { District }=- \text { State }
$$



Class of 2023 (current G8) Math

- District $=-$ State



## Legacy Science MCAS Results

## 2018: Percentage of students proficient/advanced on legacy Science MCAS G5 \& G8

■ \% Proficient/Advanced
■ \% State Proficient/ Advanced



## High School Legacy MCAS Results

2018: Percentage of students proficient/advanced on legacy MCAS G10

■ \% Proficient/Advanced





## New Accountability System

- The brand new state accountability system, implemented this year, uses next-generation and legacy data from spring 2017 as the baseline.
- There are a couple of new indicators not used before (English learners progress and chronic absenteeism).
- There's a focus on closing the achievement gap by raising the achievement of the lowest $25 \%$ of students, regardless of subgroups.
- In fact, overall accountability results for a school or a district are the average of all students' performance on the set of accountability indicators and the performance of the lowest $25 \%$.
- Schools will no longer be classified as Levels 1 through 5.
- Instead, schools (and districts) will be assigned two scores - the target percentage (indicating progress towards meeting improvement goals) and the accountability percentile (performance ranking against peers who took the same set of tests in 2017).
- Grade 10 graduation requirements will be a critical issue moving forward for DESE when $10^{\text {th }}$ graders take the new next-generation MCAS this spring.


## Milton Public Schools 2018 Accountability Results

|  | District progress <br> toward <br> improvement <br> targets* | non <br> high <br> school | high <br> school |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overall | $64 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| All Students | - | $75 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Lowest |  | $53 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Performers | - | $37 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| EconDis | $35 \%$ | - | - |
| ELL/Former ELL | - | $54 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| SWD | $49 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Afam/BI | $29 \%$ | - | - |
| Hisp/Lat | - | - | - |
| Asian | - | - | - |
| Multi-Race | - | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| White | $87 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[^0]
## Milton Public Schools 2018 Accountability Results

| 2018 Overall <br> Accountability <br> Results | Progress \% toward <br> meeting improvement <br> targets <br> (2017 vs. 2018) | Account- <br> ability <br> percentile <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ only) | Accountability classification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District | 64\% - Partially meeting | n/a | Not requiring assistance or intervention |
| Collicot | $93 \%$ - Meeting | 91 | Not requiring assistance or intervention |
| Cunningham | $84 \%$ - Meeting | 89 | Not requiring assistance or intervention |
| Glover | $94 \%-$ Meeting | 92 | Not requiring assistance or intervention |
| Tucker | $90 \%-$ Meeting | 93 | Not requiring assistance or intervention |
| Pierce | $26 \%-$ Partially meeting | 59 | Not requiring assistance or intervention |
| Milton High | $67 \%-$ Partially meeting | 76 | Not requiring assistance or intervention |

- Accountability categories for schools state-wide:
- 31\% categorized as "Meeting targets"
- $53 \%$ categorized as "Partially meeting targets"
- 14\% categorized as "In need of focused/targeted support" (<95\% participation on the MCAS or ACCESS for any subgroup would automatically put a school in this category)
- $2 \%$ categorized as "In need of broad/comprehensive support"


## Standardized Assessment: 2017-2018 Advanced Placement

## High School AP - Highlights

- MHS offered a total of 21 AP courses.
- AP classes are open to all juniors and seniors. Even some of our sophomores have the opportunity to take classes.
- About $60 \%$ of our juniors and seniors are enrolled in AP classes.
- 17 of 21 courses have a qualifying rate of $75 \%$ or above.
- Math/Business/Tech this year had all their courses achieved above the 75\% qualifying rate.
- 156 of 311 AP students (or $50 \%$ ) are recognized for academic distinction as AP Scholars - even higher than our last year's high of 44\%.
- In 2017, 85\% of all AP exams received a qualifying score of 3, 4 or 5; this was the highest overall AP qualifying rate we had ever achieved at MHS. This year, we maintained this rate at $86 \%$.


## Performance: Percent of AP Exams with Qualifying Scores

Even with our open enrollment for AP, students are consistently getting more and more qualifying scores year after year. In 2017, AP qualifying* scores were at the highest percentage they have ever been at $85 \%$. This year, the rate is steady at $86 \%$.

2018: Percent of AP Exams with Qualifying Scores


## Participation: Percent of AP Students by Race

The African American/Black subgroup is the only one not participating at their percentage of the population. However, this 2018 participation rate is actually an incremental improvement over last year's rate.


2017: 10\% (or 29 students) in AP classes against $24 \%$ in total population. Difference of $14 \%$.

## Performance: Qualifying Scores by Race

In 2016, the qualifying rate was $47 \%$ for the African American/Black subgroup and $57 \%$ for the Hispanic/Latino subgroup. Similar to results from 2017, both subgroups are still achieving on par with their peers this year.

2018: Percent Qualifying Scores by Race


Note: The College Board did not provide performance data by fee waiver status for the AP this year.

## Participation \& Performance by Gender

More girls are accessing the AP offerings than boys.

2018: Percent AP
Students by Gender

- AP Students

■ MHS (Juniors + Seniors)


Female
Male

Boys and girls are performing on par with each other overall.

2018: Percent Qualifying Scores by Gender

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |  |
| 0\% | Female | Male | Total |
| Exams with Scores Below 3 | 64 | 37 | 101 |
| Exams with Qualifying Scores (3-5) | 331 | 273 | 604 |
| - \% of Exams with Qualifying Scores | 84\% | 88\% | 86\% |

Note: In 2017, boys had a qualifying rate of $90 \%$ vs. $81 \%$ for girls.

## Performance: Qualifying Scores by Subject Areas

The Math and World Languages Departments have the highest percentages of qualifying scores this year.

2018: Percent Qualifying Scores by Subjects Areas


## Performance: Number of AP Scholars

The number of AP Scholars has also risen consistently in the last six years, with 2018 having the highest number of recognized students!

2018: Number of AP Scholars
AP Scholar Awards are academic distinctions that students earn based on performance criteria set by the College Board.

|  | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| —AP Scholar | 46 | 51 | 42 | 42 | 60 | 52 |
| —AP Scholar with Honor | 22 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 29 | 40 |
| —AP Scholar with Distinction | 28 | 18 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 57 |
| -National AP Scholar | 3 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 7 |
| -Total | 99 | 89 | 103 | 105 | 129 | 156 |

## Standardized Assessment Data: Class of 2018 SAT

## SAT Context

- Much like DESE, the College Board has also spent the past few years redesigning and implementing a new suite of SAT assessments aligned to research on what students need to be college and career ready.
- The College Board's SAT College and Career Readiness Benchmarks are the minimum SAT scores that studies show are necessary for students to be ready for college and career.
- Based on actual student success in entry-level college courses.
- Specifically, the benchmark score represents a $75 \%$ likelihood of a student achieving at least a "C" grade in a first-semester, credit-bearing college course in a related subject.
- Overall college and career readiness is defined as achieving both of the benchmarks - Evidence-based Reading and Writing (ERW) and
Math - on a given assessment.
- The Class of 2018 is the first class that experienced all the redesigned components of PSAT and SAT testing.


## Class of 2018 SAT:

## Overall Participation \& Performance

|  | \# of students |
| :--- | :--- |
| SAT | 235 |
| MHS | 241 |

## Overall participation rate 98\%

Participation rate was 91\% in 2015; 90\% in 2016; 92\% in 2017.


* The benchmark score represents a 75\% likelihood of a student achieving at least a "C" grade in a firstsemester, creditbearing college course in a related subject.


## Class of 2018 SAT:

## Performance by Race

The African American/Black and Hispanic/Latino subgroups are underperforming their peers. However, the Hispanic/Latino subgroup actually improved this year.


## Class of 2018 SAT:

## Performance by Fee Waiver Status \& Gender

The performance of low income students is lower than that of their peers. However, the low income subgroup did improve this year.

## Percentage Meeting College \& <br> Career Benchmarks by Income

■ Class of 2017 ■ Class of 2018


Used fee waiver
Did not use

Percentage Meeting College \&
Career Benchmarks by Gender


## Conclusion

- Considering all the major academic indicators, our school system is doing a great job preparing students to do well academically.
- In every grade level and tested MCAS subject, the district is above the state average.
- Our district Grade 3 ELA score is at 74\% meeting/exceeding this year the highest it has been in the last seven years.
- About $60 \%$ of our juniors and seniors are enrolled in our open enrollment AP courses. Yet, they still managed to have an overall qualifying rate of $86 \%$ - the highest rate in MHS history of AP testing.
- Our SAT scores are showing that 74\% of our students are reaching the College Board's college and career readiness benchmarks.
- We still struggle with the achievement gaps for subgroups; but we also see improvements across various assessments (AP, SAT).


## Next Steps - Strategic Planning

- Since we are in the midst of a strategic plan for the district, are there other key indicators we should track to evaluate the success of our school system in a one-stop big picture district dashboard?
- Social-emotional learning (Youth Risk Behavior Survey)?
- School climate?
- Participation in sports? Arts, music? Extra-curriculars?
- College matriculation?
- Other ideas?


[^0]:    * Based on 2017 baseline results, 2018 improvement targets were set for schools and districts. 2018 results were then used to evaluate whether schools/districts made progress toward their targets.

    25-49\% means overall, no change in performance

    75\% or above means meeting improvement targets

